

Liberté Égalité Fraternité



Time Schemes for Solving Maxwell's Equations in a Mesh Hybridization Strategy.

X. Ferrieres^{1,*}, V. Mouysset¹, S. Pernet¹ *xavier.ferrieres@onera.fr

JOSO 2025 18-20 mars

Ce document est la propriété de l'ONERA. Il ne peut être communiqué à des tiers et/ou reproduit sans l'autorisation préalable écrite de l'ONERA, et son contenu ne peut être divulgué. This document and the information contained herein is proprietary information of ONERA and shall not be disclosed or reproduced without the prior authorization of ONERA.

Context and issues

context : Efficient calculation of electromagnetic field values in complex structures or objects in order to qualify them. *Efficient = fast and accurate* **Issues** :

- accurately take into account the geometric curvature of objects;
- take into account local refinements in a multi-scale domain;
- processing of large calculation scenes.







Maxwell-TD : multi-domains/multi-methods approach

software architecture

One file to define the computational sub-domains and the hybridizations between them

Concerning each sub-domain, we give

- a number of processus to parallal implementation ;
- a numerical method ;
- two files to define geometric and physical parameters.

concerning each Hybridization, we give

- 2 sub-domains ;
- a type of Hybridization.

2-level parallelism inside each sub-domain and between different sub-domains by using openMP/MPI.





software architecture

- MPI -> exchange between sub-domains ;
- OPEN/MP -> only for calculation loops.







mesh efficiency : cartesian meshes

Process :

- defines a cartesian grid (*xmin*, *xmax*, *nx*) × (*ymin*, *ymax*, *ny*) × (*zmin*, *zmax*, *nz*);
- projection of a point cloud representing the objects, inside the grid ;
- determination meshes of the objects by the boundary cells of the point cloud.







mesh efficiency : NST meshes

Process :

- define triangular meshes of the boundaries of sub-domains inside the computational domain;
- generate volumic meshes of the sub-domains by using GMSH;
- cement all the sub-domains to obain the global mesh.







mesh efficiency : hybrid ST/NST meshes : principle

- · Fast and Automatic ST-UNST Meshing Strategy
 - 1) Triangular surface mesh for considered object
 - 2) Cartesian mesh adapted to 3D computational domain
 - UNST tetrahedral volume mesh between the surface meshes of: the object and the Cartesian/global object area boundary
 - 4) Merging of ST and NST meshes



Cell hybridization interface







Numerical scheme

Several scheme are available inside Maxwell-TD

- FDTD : Yee's method used on cartesian meshes ;
- FVTD-NST : Finite volume on unstructured meshes ;
- FVTD-ST : Finite volume on cartesian meshes ;
- FEM : Finite element method on cartesian meshes ;
- DG : Discontinus Galerkin method on unstructured meshes ;
- MIT : Time EFIE on triangular meshes ;
- CDO : Compatible Discrete Operator on unstructured meshes ;
- SD : Spectral difference method on cartesian meshes ;
- TLM : Transmission Line Method for cables.





Hybrid strategy : In progress ...

Two kinds of hybridations

- For EMC : Cables with Fields ;
- Between sub-domains in a calculation scene.

Concerning sub-domains several aspects have been studied

- By matrices of impedance ;
- Disjoints sub-domains ;
- Hybridation on mesh.







Hybridization inside Maxwell-TD

Numerical Aspects for FEM-DG Hybridization

General formulation for DG method

- Computational domain Ω approximated by partition τ_h defined by cells \mathcal{K}
- we search a solution $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}) \in \mathbf{H}^1(\tau_h) \times H^1(\tau_h)$, with $\mathbf{H}^1(\tau_h) = \{ v \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega) ; \forall \mathcal{K} \in \tau_h, v_{|\mathcal{K}} \in \mathbf{H}^1(\mathcal{K}) \}$, so that $\forall (\varphi, \psi) \in \mathbf{H}^1(\tau_h) \times \mathbf{H}^1(\tau_h) :$

$$\begin{cases} \forall \mathcal{K} \in \tau_h, \quad \int_{\mathcal{K}} \varepsilon \partial_t \mathbf{E} \cdot \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \nabla \times \mathbf{H} \cdot \varphi \, dx + \gamma_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\partial \mathcal{K}} \llbracket \mathbf{H} \times n \rrbracket \cdot \varphi \, dx \\ \forall \mathcal{K} \in \tau_h, \quad \int_{\mathcal{K}} \mu \partial_t \mathbf{H} \cdot \psi \, dx = -\int_{\mathcal{K}} \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \cdot \psi \, dx + \delta_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\partial \mathcal{K}} \llbracket \mathbf{E} \times n \rrbracket \cdot \psi \, dx \end{cases}$$

At the bounds of cell ${\mathcal K}$ we put down a jump term

• $\llbracket \mathbf{E} \times n \rrbracket = (\mathbf{E}' - \mathbf{E}) \times n$ and $\llbracket \mathbf{H} \times n \rrbracket = (\mathbf{H}' - \mathbf{H}) \times n$, when we have \mathcal{K}' so that $\partial \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}' \cap \mathcal{K}$, with \mathbf{E}' et \mathbf{H}' fields inside \mathcal{K}' ;

•
$$\llbracket \mathbf{E} \times n \rrbracket = -\mathbf{E} \times n$$
 and $\llbracket \mathbf{H} \times n \rrbracket = -\mathbf{H} \times n$, when $\partial \mathcal{K} \subset \partial \Omega$.





Hybridization inside Maxwell-TD

Numerical Aspects for FEM-DG Hybridization

General formulation for the FEM method

- Computational domain Ω with a boundary $\partial \Omega$
- Search $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}) \in \mathbf{H}_0(rot, \Omega) \times \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$ so that $\forall (\varphi, \psi) \in \mathbf{H}_0(rot, \Omega) \times \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$:

$$\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \partial_t \mathbf{E} \cdot \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{H} \cdot \nabla \times \varphi \, dx + \int_{\delta \Omega} \mathbf{H} \cdot \varphi \times n \, dx$$
$$\int_{\Omega} \mu \partial_t \mathbf{H} \cdot \psi \, dx = -\int_{\Omega} \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \cdot \psi \, dx$$

• On
$$\partial \Omega$$
, $n \times E = 0$ with *n* the outgoing normal at $\partial \Omega$





Hybrid FEM-GD approach

The hybrid formulation

search $(\mathbf{E}_1(t, \cdot), \mathbf{H}_1(t, \cdot)) \in \mathbf{H}_E(\Omega_1) \times \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{rot}, \Omega_1)$ and $(\mathbf{E}_2(t, \cdot), \mathbf{H}_2(t, \cdot)) \in \mathbf{H}^1(\tau_h) \times \mathbf{H}^1(\tau_h)$, so that $\forall (\varphi_1, \psi_1) \in \mathbf{H}_E(\Omega_1) \times \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{rot}, \Omega_1)$ and $\forall (\varphi_2, \psi_2) \in \mathbf{H}^1(\tau_h) \times \mathbf{H}^1(\tau_h)$ $\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \, \partial_t \mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \varphi_1 \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{H}_1 \cdot \nabla \times \varphi_1 dx + \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{H}_1 \cdot \varphi_1 \times n_1 \, ds + \alpha \int_{\Gamma} (\mathbf{H}_2 - \mathbf{H}_1) \times n_1 \cdot \varphi_1 ds$ $\int_{\Omega} \mu \partial_t \mathbf{H}_1 \cdot \psi_1 \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_1 \cdot \psi_1 \, dx + \beta \int_{\Gamma} (\mathbf{E}_2 - \mathbf{E}_1) \times \mathbf{n}_1 \cdot \psi_1 \, ds$ $\forall_{\mathcal{K}} \in \tau_h, \int_{\mathcal{K}} \varepsilon \ \partial_t \mathbf{E}_2 \cdot \varphi_2 dx = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_2 \cdot \varphi_2 dx + \gamma_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\mathsf{a} \mathcal{K} \setminus \mathbf{F}} \llbracket \mathbf{H}_2 \times n_{\mathcal{K}} \rrbracket \cdot \varphi_2 ds + \gamma \int_{\mathbf{F}} (\mathbf{H}_1 - \mathbf{H}_2) \times n_2 \cdot \varphi_2 ds$ $\forall \mathcal{K} \in \tau_h, \int_{\mathcal{K}} \mu \ \partial_t \mathbf{H}_2 \cdot \psi_2 \ dx = -\int_{\mathcal{K}} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_2 \cdot \psi_2 \ dx + \delta_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\mathcal{H}} [\mathbf{E}_2 \times n_{\mathcal{K}}] \cdot \psi_2 ds + \delta \int_{\mathcal{K}} (\mathbf{E}_1 - \mathbf{E}_2) \times n_2 \cdot \psi_2 ds$



18/03/2025 X. Ferrieres JOSO 2025



Hybrid FEM-GD approach

Numerical study

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Omega} = \|\boldsymbol{\mathsf{E}}\|_{0,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},\Omega_1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}\|_{0,\boldsymbol{\mu},\Omega_1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\mathsf{E}}\|_{0,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},\Omega_2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}\|_{0,\boldsymbol{\mu},\Omega_2}^2$$

Then this energy do not increase in time and its derivative in time must be equal to 0.

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \mathcal{E}_{\Omega} = & (1+\beta-\alpha) \int_{\Gamma} \mathsf{H}_1 \cdot (\mathsf{E}_1 \times \mathit{n}_1) ds + \alpha \int_{\Gamma} \mathsf{H}_2 \times \mathit{n}_1 \cdot \mathsf{E}_1 ds + \beta \int_{\Gamma} \mathsf{E}_2 \times \mathit{n}_1 \cdot \mathsf{H}_1 ds \\ & + (1-\gamma+\delta) \int_{\Gamma} \mathsf{H}_2 \times \mathit{n}_2 \cdot \mathsf{E}_2 ds + \gamma \int_{\Gamma} \mathsf{H}_1 \times \mathit{n}_2 \cdot \mathsf{E}_2 ds + \delta \int_{\Gamma} \mathsf{E}_1 \times \mathit{n}_2 \cdot \mathsf{H}_2 ds \end{split}$$

Then, by using $n_1 = -n_2$, to preserve the energy we must have the conditions :



18/03/2025 X. Ferrieres JOSO 2025



Numerical example : validation of the hybridization principle

Propagative mode inside a curved guide for a long duration of observation

- the guide is divided into two parts on which a FEM and a DG scheme is applied respectively
- a comparison between hybrid FEM/DG and DG methods is done







Hybrid TLM-3D approach

Numerical study : Mathematical problem

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \sigma E + J = \nabla \times H \\ \mu_0 \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times E \\ E(t=0,.) = H(t=0,.) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega \\ \forall t \in]0, T[, n \times E(t, x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \partial \Omega \\ \\ \text{On } \Omega_k, \quad \forall k \in \{1, ..., H\} : \\ L_k \frac{\partial I_k}{\partial t} + R_k I_k = -\frac{\partial V_k}{\partial \ell} - E \cdot u_k \\ \\ \text{On } \Omega_k, \quad \forall k \in \{1, ..., N\} : \\ C_k \frac{\partial V_k}{\partial t} + G_k V_k = -\frac{\partial I_k}{\partial \ell} \\ I_k(t=0,.) = V_k(t=0,.) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega_k, \forall k \in \{1, ..., H\} \\ + \text{ boundaries conditions on } JU_m, \forall m \in \{1, ..., M\} \end{cases}$$





Hybrid TLM-3D approach

Numerical study : Constraints to have a well-posed hybrid problem

The problem can be rewritten

 $\frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{\Delta t} = AU^n + F^n,$ with $U^n = (E^n, H^{n-1/2}, I^{n-1/2}, V^n)$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_E & A_{EI} \\ A_{IE} & A_I \end{pmatrix}$ where A_E and A_I are the discrete operators that approximate the differential operators $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\nu \nabla \times \\ \nu \nabla \times & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} L^{-1}R & \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\ \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} & C^{-1}G \end{pmatrix}$, respectively. The discrete operators A_{EI} and A_{IE} approximate the coupling operators $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{S\sqrt{e_0L}}u_{\ell L} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{e_{eIL}}}v_{\ell L} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, respectively. If we assume that these operators satisfy $\langle A_{IE}E, I \rangle = -\langle A_{EI}I, E \rangle.$

The hybrid TLM-3D problem is a well-posed problem





Hybrid TLM-3D approach

Validation







New work in Maxwell-TD : Hybrid FVTD ST/NST method

SER of buried objects located inside a soil (L-M. Mazzolo thesis)







Hybrid ST/NST finite volume approach : idea



Numerical modeling strategy

59 x 59 x 64 hexahedral cells

202217 hevehedral cells 21 092 totraboriral colle

1 Core used Efficient Cores: 8 Cores, 8 Threads, 1.3 GHz Base





Hybrid ST/NST finite volume approach : Exchange principle

Hybrid method and Plane wave introduction







Hybrid ST/NST finite volume approach : defintion of sub-domains







Hybrid ST/NST finite volume approach : time exchanges



Each scheme use the same number of classes with the same timestep





Hybrid ST/NST finite volume approach : Evaluating time classes







Hybrid ST/NST finite volume approach : first application





18/03/2025 X. Ferrieres JOSO 2025



Compatible Operator Discrete method (V. Ritzenthaler thesis)

- Context : FDTD generalization. Possibility to introduce curved geometry and local refinements in FDTD.
- Mathematical model :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \partial_{t}\underline{\mathbf{B}} - \mathsf{C}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{R}\mathsf{L} \ \underline{\mathbf{E}} = 0, & \underline{\mathbf{D}} = \mathsf{H}_{\varepsilon}\underline{\mathbf{E}}, & (\mathsf{H}_{\varepsilon})_{i,j} := \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \ell_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \ \ell_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \ d\mathbf{x}, \\ \partial_{t}\underline{\mathbf{D}} + \mathsf{C}\mathsf{U}\mathsf{R}\mathsf{L}^{\mathsf{T}}\underline{\mathsf{H}} = -\underline{\mathsf{J}}, & \underline{\mathsf{H}} = \mathsf{H}_{\mu^{-1}}\underline{\mathsf{B}}. & (\mathsf{H}_{\mu^{-1}})_{i,j} := \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\mu} h_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \ h_{j}(\mathbf{x}) \ d\mathbf{x}. \end{array}$$

- Physical models : perfectly metallic, dielectric
- Meshes : unstructured meshes with local refinements
- Advantage : good approach to take into account complex structure with a low computational cost
- weak point of the method : local matrix to inverse (MUMPS solver)





Compatible Operator Discrete method : Topological Law







CDO scheme : Topological equations

location of unknowns







Compatible Operator Discrete method : constitutive relations





Compatible Operator Discrete method : shape basis functions for cartesian cell





18/03/2025 X. Ferrieres JOSO 2025



Compatible Operator Discrete method : shape function for polyhedric cell







Compatible Operator Discrete method : shape functions fo tetraedric cell





18/03/2025 X. Ferrieres JOSO 2025



Compatible Operator Discrete method : Example on local refinement





Compatible Operator Discrete method : Application to SER of sphere







Compatible Operator Discrete method : Hybrid mesh







Compatible Operator Discrete method : Hybrid mesh (point 1)





18/03/2025 X. Ferrieres JOSO 2025



Compatible Operator Discrete method : Hybrid mesh (point 2)

CPU time comparison CDO : 30mn, FDTD-3 : more than 2h





18/03/2025 X. Ferrieres JOSO 2025



Conclusions and outlook

Conclusions

On numerical scheme : Maxwell-TD

- allows us to introduce easily several scheme ;
- capitalize on the development;
- possibility to share some physical models (wires, aperture, dispersive material, ...) with low development ;
- implementation for schema hybridization simplified

On the solution mesh proposed

- enables a mesh solution with a lot of cartesian cells;
- allows to introduce locally unstructured cells in the mesh to take into account curved geometries;
- authorizes to use and to couple several mesh zones in a global computational domain.





Conclusions and outlook

outlook

Several possibilities Priority works for me

- In progress TAMI and DIAANE research projets ;
- In progress (V. Mouysset) study of a block structured mesher ;
- Define an efficient multi-mesh strategy with a maximum of cartesian zones;
- Development for each existing method in Maxwell-TD of a version for cartesian mesh;
- Make hybridation studies for FVTD-FDTD, CDO-FDTD, CDO-FVTD, CDO-TLM;
- Make hybridations between scheme with low order and scheme with high order like FVTD-GD, FEM-FDTD, SD-FVTD (idea : reduce dispersive and dissipative error without increasing the CPU time)





Bibliography

- P. Bonnet Thesis on a FVTD method to solve Maxwell's equations, 1998.
- S. Pernet Thesis on a DG method fo Maxwell's equations, 2004.
- T. Volpert Thesis on a FEM method to solve Maxwell's equations, 2014.
- N. Deymier Thesis on hybrid FEM/GD strategy, 2016.
- D. Lacour Thesis on hybridation by sub-domains, 2006.
- V. Mouysset Thesis on hybridizations method on disjoints domains, 2006.
- R. Cranny Thesis on hybrid FVTD/FDTD method, thin wire model and SD approach, 2021.
- P. schickele Thesis on TLM-3D strategy, 2019
- L-M. Mazzolo Thesis on hybrid ST/NST FVTD method and soil model, 2024.
- V. Ritzenthaler Thesis on a CDO scheme for Maxwell's equations, 2024.





Thank you for your Attention !!! some questions ?

www.onera.fr